







FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2018-19

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	48	1	0	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	46	3	0	0









3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	28	21	0	0





4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	19	30	0	0





5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	14	32	3	0







6. Weightage given to practical and field work component

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	36	2	0



7. Depth of the course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	30	6	0





8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	14	25	10	0







9. Difficulty level of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	30	9	0









10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	15	25	9	0





11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	19	22	8	0





12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	19	20	10	0







13. Level of Course Outcomes



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	18	23	8	0





14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	20	23	6	0




15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	20	23	6	0





16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	23	5	0







17. Weightage given to practical, field work com



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	26	2	0



18. Measures to additional understanding of diffi
slow learners



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	22	25	3	0







19. Overall rating

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	25	3	0







FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2019-20

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	47	4	0	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	46	5	0	0









3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	33	18	0	0





4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	22	29	0	0





5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	14	35	2	0







6. Weightage given to practical and field work component

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	37	4	0





7. Depth of the course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	40	3	0



8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	36	2	0







9. Difficulty level of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	16	33	2	0





10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	23	25	3	0





11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	27	21	3	0







12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	32	17	2	0





13. Level of Course Outcomes



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	30	18	3	0





14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	28	20	3	0







15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	25	22	4	0




16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	26	4	0



17. Weightage given to practical, field work com



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	27	3	0





18. Measures to additional understanding of diffi
slow learners



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	16	23	1	0



19. Overall rating



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average

	16	33	0	0
--	----	----	---	---





FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2020-21

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	39	11	0	0







2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	36	14	0	0





3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	32	18	0	0







4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	32	18	0	0







5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	26	24	0	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	23	27	0	0





7. Depth of the course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	20	30	0	0









8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	22	28	0	0



9. Difficulty level of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	29	0	0





10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	29	0	0







11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	24	26	0	0





12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	16	34	0	0





13. Level of Course Outcomes

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	25	25	0	0







14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	25	25	0	0





15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option
---	--------



	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	25	25	0	0



16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	23	27	0	0







17. Weightage given to practical, field work com

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	25	25	0	0





18. Measures to additional understanding of diffi
slow learners

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	30	20	0	0



19. Overall rating



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	41	0	0









FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2021-22

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	42	6	0	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	40	8	0	0







3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	29	19	0	0









4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	22	26	0	0





5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	28	8	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work component



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	33	9	0





7. Depth of the course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	41	3	0



8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	39	4	0








9. Difficulty level of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	33	5	0







10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	28	7	0





11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	19	19	10	0






12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	21	17	10	0






13. Level of Course Outcomes

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	19	17	12	0






14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	22	17	8	1





15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	17	22	9	0







16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	26	9	0






17. Weightage given to practical, field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	14	31	6	0



18.Measures to additional understanding of diffi
slow learners



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	33	5	0





19. Overall rating

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	33	4	0





FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2022-23



1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & needs


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	35	15	0	0







2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	31	19	0	0









3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	27	23	0	0






4. Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	31	19	0	1







5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	27	23	0	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work component

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	37	11	2	0



7. Depth of the course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	34	10	1	0



Chart Title





Chart Title



8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	31	24	0	0

Chart Title








Chart Title





9. Difficulty level of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	37	12	0	0



10. Optimization of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	26	22	2	0



Chart Title





Chart Title



11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	35	11	4	0

Chart Title








Chart Title





12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	34	15	1	0



13. Level of Course Outcomes

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	36	13	1	0



Chart Title





Chart Title





14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	30	18	2	0

Chart Title





Chart Title







15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	17	28	5	0






16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	27	22	1	0



17. Weightage given to practical, field work com



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	28	22	0	0






18. Measures to additional understanding of diffi
slow learners



				Option	
Excellent		Good	Average		Below Average
36		14	0		0



19. Overall rating



				Option	
Excellent		Good	Average		Below Average
34		16	0		0






FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM ---2018-19



1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	4	1	0





2. Weightage given to Employability



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	7	3	0



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	9	1	0






4. Weightage given to Skill development

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	5	1	0







5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	11	1	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work co	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	7	3	0





7. Depth of the course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	7	3	0





0 2 4 6 8 10

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average


8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	0	3	0



9. Difficulty level of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	12	2	0





10. Optimization of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	8	1	0





0 2 4 6 8 10

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average



11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	7	1	0





syllabus



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	9	2	0





13. Relevance of Course outcomes

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	10	1	0







14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0




15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0



16. Overall rating



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0







FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM ---2019-20


1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	10	3	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	9	2	0





3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	2	0









4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	9	2	0





5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	7	4	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work co	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	5	2	0







7. Depth of the course content


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	11	2	0





8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	7	1	0





9. Difficulty level of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	8	1	0









10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	11	1	0





11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	9	1	0





syllabus	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	5	1	0







13. Relevance of Course outcomes	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	8	4	0









14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	13	1	0



15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	2	0





16. Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	10	1	0







FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM ---2020-21

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	5	3	0







2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0







3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	10	1	0









4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	8	4	0




5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	11	2	1





6. Weightage given to practical and field work co	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	10	1	2





7. Depth of the course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	9	3	0



8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	8	3	0







9. Difficulty level of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	5	1	0









10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	2	0





11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	7	2	0





syllabus	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	9	2	0







13. Relevance of Course outcomes	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	7	2	0





14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,



Option

concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	0	0





15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	0	0







16. Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	1	0






FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM ---2021-22

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	4	1	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	1	0








3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	3	3	0





4.Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	5	7	0





5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	0	0







6. Weightage given to practical and field work co	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	0	2




7. Depth of the course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	9	3	0



8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	10	3	0







9. Difficulty level of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0





10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	4	3	0





11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	9	1	0








syllabus	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	9	1	0





13. Relevance of Course outcomes	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	2	0





14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	7	1	0







15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	7	1	0







16. Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	0	0






FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM ---2022-23

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	2	0









3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	14	1	0




4. Weightage given to Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	7	3	0





5. Weightage given to Project	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	5	3	0







6. Weightage given to practical and field work component

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	9	1	0







7. Depth of the course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	1	1





8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancement	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	8	1	0







9. Difficulty level of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	9	1	0






10. Optimization of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	6	2	0





11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	10	1	0







syllabus	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	5	3	0






13. Relevance of Course outcomes	Option
----------------------------------	--------

	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	9	1	0





14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	10	1	0







15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	0	0





16. Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	8	2	0





Feedback of Alumni On Curriculum- 2018-19

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	10	1	0



2. Weightage given to Employability



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	15	3	0





3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	11	10	0



4. Weightage given to Skill development



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	10	2	0





5. Weightage given to Project



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	12	6	0







■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	12	7	0





7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	11	9	0



8. Difficulty level of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	13	4	0







9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	13	5	0





10. Optimization of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	12	1





11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

Option	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	12	4	0









12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	17	3	1





education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	13	6	1





14. Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	14	5	0







Feedback of Alumni On Curriculum- 2019-20

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	14	9	2	0





2. Weightage given to Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average



	6	16	3	0
--	---	----	---	---



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	11	6	0





4. Weightage given to Skill development



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	7	9	0





5. Weightage given to Project



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average

	2	14	8	0
--	---	----	---	---





6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	16	2	0







7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	13	3	0





8. Difficulty level of course content


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	9	6	0



9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	10	6	0







10. Optimization of course content


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	11	7	0





11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	15	4	0





12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	14	5	0







education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	13	6	1







14. Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	14	5	0



Feedback of Alumni On Curriculum- 2020-21



1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	16	4	6	0







2. Weightage given to Employability

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	17	6	0





3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	14	11	0



4. Weightage given to Skill development



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	16	10	0







5. Weightage given to Project



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	16	10	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	16	10	0





7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	14	6	0





8. Difficulty level of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	14	7	0





0 5 10 15

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	12	7	0



10. Optimization of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	19	6	0








11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	22	6	0







12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	17	4	0






education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	15	8	0



14. Overall rating



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	19	7	0





Feedback of Alumni On Curriculum- 2021-22



1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	19	8	2	0



2. Weightage given to Employability



Option



	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	17	3	0



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	15	6	0





4. Weightage given to Skill development



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	15	6	0





5. Weightage given to Project



Option

	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	16	7	0





6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	20	3	0







7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	13	4	0





8. Difficulty level of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	14	5	0



9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	12	6	0







10. Optimization of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	13	6	0





11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	18	3	0





12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	17	4	0







education	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	18	3	0



14. Overall rating

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	20	1	0





Feedback of Alumni On Curriculum- 2022-23

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	10	1	0





2. Weightage given to Employability

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	15	3	0



0

5



10

15

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	11	10	0



4. Weightage given to Skill development



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	10	2	0





5. Weightage given to Project



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	12	6	0





0 2 4 6 8 10 12

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	12	7	0






7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	11	9	0



8. Difficulty level of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	13	4	0







9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	13	5	0





10. Optimization of course content


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	10	12	1





11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

Option			
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
8	12	4	0







12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	17	3	1



education



Option



	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	13	6	1



14. Overall rating



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	14	5	0





FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS ON CURRICULUM 2018-19



1. Suitable design of curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	15	15	0	0



2. Relevance of course content to the local needs



Option



	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	13	8	0



3. Promotion of learning experience of the student



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	13	8	0







4. Focus on Employability

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	4	20	5	1





5. Weightage given to practical and field work component



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	15	9	1



6. Inculcation of moral values



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	14	11	0







7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	15	6	3





8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skill development



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	19	9	1



9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	20	5	2







10. Optimization of course content

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	12	10	0





11. Promotion of research attitude



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	3	18	9	0



12. Importance of learning values



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	12	12	1







13.Overall rating

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	1	23	6	0





FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS ON CURRICULUM ---2019-20



1. Suitable design of curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	18	10	0	0



2. Relevance of course content to the local needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	19	3	0





3. Promotion of learning experience of the student	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	11	6	0







4. Focus on Employability

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	20	2	0





5. Weightage given to practical and field work component


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	13	8	0




6. Inculcation of moral values

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	11	9	0









7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	10	11	0




8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skill development	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	15	7	1




9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	18	3	2







10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	21	0	0





11. Promotion of research attitude	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average



	10	16	2	0
--	----	----	---	---





12. Importance of learning values

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	15	4	0







13.Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	22	0	0



FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS ON CURRICULUM ---2020-21



1. Suitable design of curriculum	Option



	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	13	0	0





2. Relevance of course content to the local needs

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	9	3	0







3. Promotion of learning experience of the student	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	9	3	0





4. Focus on Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average



	10	14	0	0
--	----	----	---	---



5. Weightage given to practical and field work component



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	10	4	0





6. Inculcation of moral values



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	14	2	0



7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations



Option



	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	11	0	3





8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skill development

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	11	6	0







9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	10	4	0




10. Optimization of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	11	10	3	0



11. Promotion of research attitude



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	11	6	0







12. Importance of learning values

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	15	2	0






13.Overall rating	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	6	17	1	0



FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS ON CURRICULUM ---2021-22



1. Suitable design of curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	16	19	0	0







2. Relevance of course content to the local needs



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	14	14	2	0





3. Promotion of learning experience of the student	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	15	9	6	0





4. Focus on Employability	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	13	14	3	0







5. Weightage given to practical and field work component

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	11	10	0





6. Inculcation of moral values



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	17	5	0



7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	14	7	0







8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skill development


	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	15	5	0





9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	11	2	0





10. Optimization of course content	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	15	7	0





11. Promotion of research attitude

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	19	2	0





0 5 10 15 20

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average



12. Importance of learning values



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	18	2	0



13. Overall rating



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	9	17	4	0





FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS ON CURRICULUM ---2022-23



1. Suitable design of curriculum	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	30	10	0	0




0 5 10 15 20 25 30



■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

2. Relevance of course content to the local needs	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	16	20	4	0





3. Promotion of learning experience of the student	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	12	17	11	0







4. Focus on Employability

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	15	20	5	0





5. Weightage given to practical and field work component



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	5	20	15	0





6. Inculcation of moral values

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	20	12	0





7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	25	6	0





0 5 10 15 20 25

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average



8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skill development

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	19	14	0





9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	10	22	8	0



10. Optimization of course content



	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	21	8	0







11. Promotion of research attitude

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	8	23	9	0





12. Importance of learning values

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	22	11	0



13. Overall rating

	Option			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
	7	26	6	0





Sushiladevi
 IQAC Co-ordinator
 Smt. Sushiladevi Deshmukh
 Senior College, Latur



Sushiladevi
 PRINCIPAL
 Smt. Sushiladevi Deshmukh
 Senior College, LATUR

